Version 2 Revised Eskom Social Compact Implementation Plan

High level summary of actions and responsibilities

This document is a high-level implementation plan setting out what social partners will collectively undertake to give practical expression to the Framework Agreement for a Social Compact on Supporting Eskom for Inclusive Economic Growth. Each section of the plan directly references the agreements contained in the Social Compact. Based on these agreements the plan sets out the key actions and deliverables, responsibilities, the time within which the deliverable must be achieved and the risks.

This plan provides a set of timelines and deliverables which have been adjusted with due regard to the Covid19 pandemic and the passage of time since the agreement has been negotiated.

The implementation of this plan will be co-ordinated and monitored by social partners through the implementation of the Economic Recovery Action Plan. to-They will ensure that risks are managed and that where challenges arise these are speedily addressed.

	Areas in	Key actions and deliverables	Responsibility	Time frame	Risk	Update/comments
	Framework					
2.1 N	lanaging current load s	hedding / electricity shortages				
2.1.1	Communication	A plan is in place that guides the	Eskom and	One month	<u>Risks</u>	Eskom indicated that a
	and transparency	communication of the load-shedding	municipalities	after the	Unplanned outages	system operator guideline
	to reduce	schedules.	working with SALGA,	signing of the	that undermines	for supplier constraints
	uncertainty of load		business and	agreement	communication	has been developed so
	shedding		community			that the first deliverable
						has been met (to confirm)
		A mechanism is established to elicit		Monthly		
		feedback from stakeholders around the		feedback on		Eskom to indicate if it can
		effective communication of the plan and its		whether		report monthly
		adequacy		communication		
				is taking place		

	Areas in Framework	Key actions and deliverables	Responsibility	Time frame	Risk	Update/comments
2.1.2	A review of the load-shedding schedule to minimize disruption	Mechanisms to review load shedding schedules and regularly engage are established between NERSA, affected businesses, municipalities, Eskom and all other social partners.	NERSA enables the mechanism and business and community are responsible for providing feedback about the schedule (is the plan working)	One month after the signing of the agreement	Risks Mechanism not established timeously. Competing demands from electricity consumers resulting in disputes	Eskom proposes that this is done through Energy Intensive User Group
	Procurement of addition					
2.2.1	Procurement of REIPPPP (bid windows 3.5 and 4) and connect these to the grid over the next 18 months.	Progress update on BW 3.5 and 4 projects to monitor whether procurement proceeds according to plan	DMRE	Quarterly	Risk Unforeseen events delaying the connection of capacity to the grid Inadequate delivery on IPP projects	
2.2.2	Kusile and Medupi	Progress reports to monitor Kusile and Medupi capacity / EAF to add 2,400MW within the next 24 months Regular updates are provided by government on improvements in the efficiency of Kusile and Medupi	DPE/Eskom provide reports to social partners on progress	Quarterly	Risk Inadequate information from Eskom Kusile and Medupi not meeting EAF targets, resulting in insufficient generation capacity	Eskom reported that Kusile has added 800 MW and a further 1600MW are on track.
2.2.3	Procurement of new generation in terms of the IRP	Obstacles to the efficient implementation of the IRP are highlighted and are addressed	Social partners will highlight obstacles. If these emerge, within this Technical Team that can be to be	Quarterly	Risk: The combined impact of COVID-19 and the downgrade on the cost and	

	Areas in Framework	Key actions and deliverables	Responsibility	Time frame	Risk	Update/comments
			addressed with the DMRE		availability of financing and therefore the ability to fund capacity expansion. Obstacles identified are not addressed, resulting in generation capacity not meeting IRP targets	
2.2.4	Finalising regulations to enable wheeling for selfgeneration/own use	Government to report on progress of finalizing necessary legislation and regulations and removing barriers to implementation	DMRE/DPE/Eskom	Within 2 months of the signing of the agreement	Risk: Regulatory obstacles are not addressed. resulting in generation capacity not meeting IRP targets	
2.2.5a	Increased capacity	Business outlines its plan to self-generate 2500 MW and provides regular progress reports	Business DMRE	Within 2 months of the signing of the agreement and then Quarterly progress reports	Risk: Impact of COVID19/downgrade on companies planning for self- generation. Lack of consensus between government and business plans for self-generation	

	Areas in Framework	Key actions and deliverables	Responsibility	Time frame	Risk	Update/comments
2.2.5b		DMRE progress reports on procurement of 2500 MW through DMRE emergency procurement processes	DMRE	Quarterly Updates	Risk: The regulations are not effectively implemented.	
Managing	Tariffs- <mark>by reducing cos</mark>	sts				
2.3.1	The recovery of prudently incurred costs and an appropriate provision for future capital investment	A review of Eskom's cost-structures is completed and the report is made available to social partners.	Eskom	One month after the signing of the agreement	Risks Tariffs are not cost reflective Inflated tariffs to recover costs not prudently incurred Revenue shortfalls related to Covid-19 if recovered from tariffs could mean that electricity become unaffordable	Eskom indicated that they have reviewed their cost structure, which report will be made available. Discussions on the link between costs and tariffs should then be engaged at 2.3.6. below.
2.3.2 and 2.3.3	Review by Eskom of all material contracts entered into by Eskom with private and public sector providers.	Review of Eskom contracts to identify corrupt, irregular or onerous contracts Report on actions taken	DPE/Eskom plus working with social partners to ensure confidence in this process	Quarterly reports – starting within 2 months of the signing of the agreement	Risk: Process gets stalled by legal action	Eskom reported that a supply review committee was established and internal controls have been improved
2.3.4	Mechanisms to re- negotiate contracts	Updates on review of IPP and coal contracts including mechanisms that have been put in place to reduce cost drivers	DPE/Eskom with IPPs/ DMRE	Within 2 months of the signing of the agreement	Risk: Risk of litigation	
2.3.5	Free basic electricity	Review of the Free Basic Electricity provision undertaken	NT will be the lead and will working with Finance and Fiscal	Within 3 months of	Risk:	

	Areas in Framework	Key actions and deliverables	Responsibility	Time frame	Risk	Update/comments
			Commission in consultation with DCoGTA, SALGA, and community	signing the agreement	The competing demands on the fiscus.	
2.3.6	Managing tariffs	Plan to manage tariffs tabled. Finalise the negotiated pricing agreements as agreed in the Jobs Summit.	All NERSA working with Eskom / Business	Ongoing Within 1 month of signing the agreement	Risk: The inability of Eskom to absorb costs	Meeting agreed that discussion on Eskom's tariff trajectory including in relation to its cost structure should take place in this forum notwithstanding formal submissions to Nersa by interested parties. To confirm if negotiated pricing agreements are resolved.
Debt Rec	overy					
2.4.1	Efforts to ensure that the debt owing to Eskom is recovered	All consumers make a commitment to repay debts on agreed terms	DPE/Eskom/SALGA with all social partners	Quarterly	Risk: Impact of COVID19 and the downgrade on the economic circumstances of Eskom customers.	Eskom provided a brief report on work being done in this regard. Eskom to engage business on whether Tamdev can assist with municipal capacitation
2.4.2	Debt recovery	Plans to support Eskom's debt recovery plan are developed The Community constituency will lead the revival of the <i>Masakhane</i> campaign including inter alia smart tech and influencers	Community, business and labour Community	Within 2 months of signing the agreement	Risk: Impact of COVID19 on ability to roll out mass campaign.	

	Areas in Framework	Key actions and deliverables	Responsibility	Time frame	Risk	Update/comments
2.4.3	Municipal electricity revenue	A review is commissioned of the municipal electricity collection and payment system and its conclusions tabled for social partners to consider.	SALGA/DCoG	Within 1 month of signing the agreement to be completed 3 months Monthly reports on progress	Risk: The review is not completed in the agreed upon time frame and recommendations not implemented	
2.4.4	Remove illegal connections	A plan is established for social partners to work collectively to address illegal connections	DCoGTA, Eskom, SALGA and community	Quarterly	Risk: Community protest to disrupt the disconnection of illegal connections	Eskom to table draft programme and social partners will interrogate collectively including identifying other relevant roleplayers and their plans so that an integrated collaborative plan can be developed.
2.4.5	Pre-paid meters	Rollout plan for the installation of prepaid meters as well as a local pre-paid meter equipment manufacturing industry is developed.	SALGA and community with Business and DTIC	Within 2 months of signing the agreement	Risk: Households and communities do not support the installation of prepaid meters Insufficient buy-in from business to develop a local pre- paid meter industry	Separate small team to be established to take this forward.

	Areas in Framework	Key actions and deliverables	Responsibility	Time frame	Risk	Update/comments
Clean Go	overnance and Managen	nent				
2.5.1	Board and Executive Management	Government to present the protocols, that have been developed to improve governance, for engagement with social partners	DPE	Within 2 months of signing the agreement	Risk: That social partners do not sufficiently trust the process	
2.5.2	Tackling corruption and wasteful expenditure	Updates provided on the implementation of forensic audit recommendations implemented (including lifestyle audits)	DPE/Eskom	Quarterly	Risk: Limited capacity to implement recommendations	Eskom indicated that they have established an anti-fraud and corruption meeting and can provide reports in due course.
	corruption					
2.6.1	Zero tolerance with respect to corruption	Social Partners develop mechanisms to hold respective constituencies accountable and report back in respect of zero tolerance ethos and practice.	All social partners.	Each social partner to develop a plan within 1 month of signing agreement.	Risk: There is reticence amongst some members to tackle challenges where they arise	
2.6.2 and 2.6.3	Report corruption	Social partners encourage the reporting of corruption	Eskom, labour, business, community members contractors	Quarterly	Risk: There is uncertainty about the extent to which individuals that report corruption will be protected	
2.6.4	Anti-corruption mechanisms including protection of whistle blowers	Report provided on mechanisms that have been put in place to enhance anti-corruption mechanisms including support for whistle blowing, disciplinary processes and recovery of stolen assets.	Eskom/DPE	Within 1 month of signing the agreement and then quarterly from then onwards	Risk: That mechanisms to protect whistle blowers are not upheld	Eskom indicated that they have set up whistle blowing procedures

2.6.5	Areas in Framework Capacity of law enforcement	Plan is provided to resource law enforcement agencies to tackle corruption in Eskom	Responsibility NT/SAPS/Department of Justice and Constitutional Development	Time frame TBD	Risk: Dedicated resources for this purpose cannot be found.	Update/comments
		Progress reports on actions taken on the basis of forensic investigations	(DPE to report)		Lack of capacity of NPA and Eskom to take action	
2.7.1	erating Model Management	Assessment of management and staff	DPE/Eskom	Within 2	Risk:	Eskom indicated that they
2.7.1	structure, and associated costs	capacity, measured against requirements coupled with clear recommendations in the context of Eskom's overall reorganization/restructuring. Report on implementation of recommendations including re-skilling or appropriate deployment	DPE/ESKUIII	months of signing the agreement and then Quarterly Updates	Social partners not being satisfied with the results of the assessment. Organised labour within Eskom not supportive of process	are convening a Eskom Strategic Forum which has restructuring on the agenda.
2.7.2	Partnerships	Report provided on efforts made by business to support the capacity needs of Eskom	Business (TAMDEV) on the basis of Eskom's requests	Quarterly	Risk: That this support will not be requested	Business to share information about Tamdev with Eskom
Financial v						
2.8.1, 2.8.2 and 2.8.7	Business Model	Eskom will present its revised business model to social partners to enable engagement Eskom and DPE will present progress to give effect to Cabinet's Eskom Roadmap Paper of splitting Eskom into three entities	Eskom and DPE	Within 2 months of signing the agreement	Risk: Business model does not enjoy support from social partners	Eskom indicated that the new model had been approved by their EXCO in July and its work in progress.

	Areas in Framework	Key actions and deliverables	Responsibility	Time frame	Risk	Update/comments
2.8.1, 2.8.2 and 2.8.7	Reducing Eskom's' debt level	Strategy developed to reduce Eskom's debt level collectively with social partners	Eskom working with NT Social partners	Within 1 month after signing the agreement	Risk: Impact of downgrade and COVID19 may mean assumptions regarding funding requirement and availability of finance no longer hold	
2.8.3, 2.8.4, 2.8.5 and 2.8.6	Mobilize financial resources	Social partners develop plans to mobilise adequate financial resources for Eskom	Business and Labour working with NT	Within 1 month after signing the agreement	Risk: Impact of downgrade and COVID19 may mean assumptions regarding availability of finance no longer hold	
Impact Inv	vestment			1	I.	
2.9.1 & 2.9.2.	Infrastructure funding	Development of projects that enable the mobilization of funds	Eskom and DPE working with Presidential Infrastructure Investment Committee	Within 2 months on the basis of an Eskom plan	Risk: Delays in infrastructure development related to COVID-19	To identify links with Economic Recovery
Just Trans	ition					
2.10.1	Clean energy	Reports are provided on the measures that are being taken to support the transition to clean energy and on mechanisms for coordination	DPE (in alignment with other structures including NPC/PCCC/DMRE) Eskom also to report on their efforts	Within 1 month after signing the agreement	Risk: Delays in the implementation of these measures	

	Areas in	Key actions and deliverables	Responsibility	Time frame	Risk	Update/comments
	Framework					
2.10.2. 2.10.4 and 2.10.6	Mitigate any possible adverse effects of transitions	Projects and processes put in place within specific geographies and that support worker and community participation and social ownership within the renewable energy sector Report a study that addresses how decommissioned power stations can be repurposed. Report provided on progress made on the initiation of pilot projects to stimulate economy in communities affected by the transition from coal	DPE working with Eskom and Department of Environment Affairs provide a report on projects that have been put in place and the role that is being played by different social partners Eskom with all social partners	Quarterly	Risk: Capacity to implement these interventions	To follow up on pilot project with Community Constituency
2.10.3 and 2.10.6	Portfolio of renewable energy	DMRE provides a plan to expand the expansion of Eskom's portfolio of renewable energy sources.	DMRE	Within 3 months of signing the agreement and then quarterly	Risk: Disagreements about the proposed plans	
2.10.5	Manufacturing Industry	Updates will be provided on local renewable energy manufacturing including through the development of masterplans Report on usage of locally produced and installed solar energy panels in public facilities and private households	DTIC, Business and DMRE <mark>, Eskom</mark>	Within 2 months of signing the agreement	Risk: Designation does not take place timeously.	
2.10.7 Regulator	PCCCC	Plan provided for the establishment of PCCC (clear timelines and roles and responsibilities)	DEFF to report	Within 1 month of signing the agreement	Risk: Delays in the establishment of the PCCC	

	Areas in Framework	Key actions and deliverables	Responsibility	Time frame	Risk	Update/comments
2.12.1	Capacity	Report provided on the review of NERSA's capacity and the electricity regulatory system	DMRE	Within 4 months of signing the agreement	Risk: Reluctance to provide a detailed review of the capacity challenges	